

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/448,644	HARVEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	2467	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Condition for Allowance

(1) MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.

(3) _____

(2) Carl L. Benson (Reg. No. 38,378)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 July 2010

Time: 1:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 325 and 342 were discussed.

Prior art documents discussed:

Yanagimachi et al. (U.S. 3,936,595)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J. Moore, Jr./
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2467

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner submitted a proposal to Mr. Benson on 5/14/10 containing suggested claim amendments that would obviate the prior art considered applicable to Applicant's claims. Applicant responded on 7/2/10 with a proposed amendment partially in agreement with Examiner's suggestions. Unresolved independent claims 325 and 342 were discussed with Mr. Benson by phone on 7/12/10. Examiner was asked to further review the dependent claims dependent on claims 325 and 342 for potential allowable subject matter such that claims 325 and 342 would differ in scope from the other pending independent claims. Examiner proposed a subsequent amendment on 7/15/10 incorporating the limitations of claims 327-329 into claim 325 and incorporating the limitations of claims 347-349 into claim 342 to obviate the applicable prior art. Applicant agreed to this with a subsequent proposed amendment on 7/21/10. These claim amendments are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.